1	AARON D. FORD Attorney General SHERYL SERREZE, Bar No. 12864 Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717	
2		
3		
4		
5	Tel: (775) 684-1272 E-mail: SSerreze@ag.nv.gov	
6	Attorneys for Defendants William Gittere,	
7	Ricardo Saucedo, and James Weiland	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	JUSTIN EDMISTON,	C N 9.91 00947 MIMID CCD
l 1	Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:21-cv-00245-MMD-CSD
12	vs.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
13	SUCIDO, et al.,	EXTEND THE DEADLINE TO FILE A PROPOSED JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER
14	Defendants.	[First Request]
L5		
16	Defendants William Gittere, Ricardo Saucedo, and James Weiland, by and through	
L 7	undersigned counsel, Sheryl Serreze, Deputy Attorney General, hereby move for an order	
18	to extend the date for the filing of a Proposed Joint Pretrial Order for forty-five (45) days,	
19	from January 3, 2023 to February 17, 2023. This is the Defendants' first request for such an	
20	extension.	
21	I. NATURE OF THE CASE.	
22	This is a <i>pro se</i> prisoner civil rights action asserting claims arising under 42 U.S.C. §	
23	1983. ECF No. 7 at 1:11-13. The District Court, in its screening order, dismissed Edmiston's	
24	claim under the Torture Victim Protection Act of 1991, but allowed Edmiston to proceed	
25	with the following three claims: (1) his Eighth Amendment excessive force claim against	
26	defendant Ricardo Saucedo ("Saucedo") (incorrectly identified as Sucido); (2) an Eighth	
27	Amendment excessive force claim against defendant James Weiland ("Weiland"); and (3) his	

supervisory liability for Eighth Amendment excessive force by a subordinate claim against defendant William Gittere ("Gittere"). ECF No. 7 at 4-7.

On January 24, 2022, the parties participated in an Early Mediation Conference, but a settlement was not reached. ECF No. 14. On April 25, 2022, Edmiston filed a Motion for Summary Judgment or Partial Summary Judgment (ECF No. 28) in which he asked this Court to grant partial summary judgment in his favor against defendant Saucedo in the amount of \$3,700,000.00. ECF No. 28 at pg. 5. On August 30, 2022, Magistrate Judge Craig S. Denney issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that Edmiston's Motion be denied. ECF No. 53. After Edmiston objected to the R&R (ECF No. 55), the District Court overruled the objection and adopted Judge Denney's Report. ECF No. 74 at 12:15-16. Thus, the District Court denied Edmiston's Motion for Summary Judgment. ECF No. 74 at 12:24-25.

Edmiston then filed a Demand for Judgment, to which Defendants responded. ECF Nos. 73, 84. On November 17, 2022, the District Court denied the Motion/Demand for Judgment because "Edmiston provides no explanation or legal arguments to support his request." ECF No. 87.

On December 1, 2022, the parties participated in a Settlement before Magistrate Judge Craig S. Denney, but a settlement was not reached. The proposed Joint Pretrial Order was ordered to be filed by January 3, 2023.

Undersigned counsel promptly scheduled a telephonic conference with Plaintiff Edmiston for Friday, December 23, 2022 at 10:30 a.m. to discuss the proposed Joint Pretrial Order due by January 3, 2023. Mr. Edmiston did not attend that scheduled telephonic conference.

II. GOOD CAUSE FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE.

Filed herewith (in camera and under seal) is a declaration executed by Warden David Drummond and submitted in another U.S. District Court case in connection with an Early Mediation Conference, scheduled for December 27, 2022. That declaration explains the reasons why Plaintiff Edmiston missed his Friday, December 23, 2022 at

Case 3:21-cv-00245-MMD-CSD Document 92 Filed 12/29/22 Page 3 of 3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10:30 a.m. telephonic conference on this case and further explains that Plaintiff Edmiston will be unavailable through at least December 29, 2022 for medical reasons. CONCLUSION. III. Defendants will be unable to draft the Proposed Joint Pretrial Order without input from Plaintiff Edmiston and submit that his unavailability constitutes good cause for the requested extension under LR IA 6-1. Therefore, Defendants request the Court extend the date for the filing of a Proposed Joint Pretrial Order for forty-five (45) days, from January 3, 2023 to February 17, 2023. This is the first request for such an extension. DATED this 27th of December 2022. AARON D. FORD Attorney General By: Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants William Gittere, Ricardo Saucedo, and James Weiland IT IS SO ORDERED: UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DATED: December 29, 2022